Welcome To Perspectives

Lee H. Hilborne, MD, MPH
Director, UCLA Center For Patient
Safety and Quality
SAFER California Healthcare



We've Used "A User's Manual For The IOM's 'Quality Chasm' Report' As A Template

- "In its current form, habits, and environment, American health care is incapable of providing the public with the quality health care it expects and deserves."
- Addresses change needed across the health care system



The Report Aims For Improvement In Six Dimensions of Healthcare Quality

- Safety
 - Free from injury by the healthcare system
- Effectiveness
 - Care based on evidence
- Patient-centeredness
 - Honor patient preferences for care
- Timeliness
 - Reduced delays for patients and providers
- Efficiency
 - Reduced waste
- Equity
 - Close racial and ethnic gaps



Change Must Occur At Four Different Levels

- Level A
 - Patient experiences
- Level B
 - Small units of care delivery (microsystems)
- Level C
 - Organizations supporting microsystems
- Level D
 - External factors shaping behavior and interests



Patient And Family Experiences Are The Centerpiece

- Improvement aims are patient centered
- Link quality issues to what really counts
 - Patient experiences
 - Cost
 - Social justice



Major Changes Will Be Required At The Delivery Level

- Care should be based on knowledge
 - Value evidence over experience
 - Make information available
- Care should be patient centered
 - Patients control their own care
 - Increased transparency and accountability
- Systems approach to care
 - Crossing traditional boundaries
 - Requires cooperation not territorialism



Our Organizations Must Respond

- Better systems to implement and reward best practices
- Improved information technology
- Increased workforce competency, knowledge and skills
- Reward teamwork and effective teams
- Increased care coordination
- Better measures of performance and outcomes



The Healthcare Environment Must Support Organizations

- The external environment is complex and occasionally counterproductive
 - Financing
 - Regulation and accreditation
 - Litigation
 - Professional education
 - Social policy



Our Laboratories Must Support The Agenda For Change

- Laboratory medicine is at the hub of clinical practice
 - Patients depend on laboratory results
 - Microsystems use laboratory findings to guide decision making
 - Organizations use laboratory results to assess quality and assure quality patient care



We've Given Our Presenters An Impossible Task

- Perspectives presenters
 - The Perspective of the Stakeholder group
 - Personal Experience from their Group,
 Patients, Practice Examples
 - Solutions related to patient safety and laboratory – expectations particularly at the laboratory clinical interface
 - Solutions and suggestions?



Our Perspectives Presenters

- Linda McKibben, MD, MPH
 - Medical Officer, CDC NCID Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion
- Nancy Green, MD
 - Medical Director, March of Dimes
- Matthew Weinger, MD
 - Professor of Anesthesiology, UCSD
- Nancy Foster
 - Senior Associate Director, American Hospital Association
- Mark Hiepler, JD
 - Hiepler and Hiepler



We've Given Our Panelists An Impossible Task

- Laboratory Stakeholder Reactors
 - Will the presenters' recommendations and expectations work from the laboratory's standpoint?
 - Are the requests and expectations reasonable in today's laboratory? Are there some changes to laboratory practice that would facilitate meeting these expectations?
 - Are there other issues, problems, concerns at the laboratory-clinical interface as related to the stakeholder group?
 - Do you see any issues at the interface between stakeholder groups?
 - Solutions and suggestions?



Our Laboratory Stakeholders

- Peter J. Howanitz, MD
 - SUNY Downstate Hospital Laboratories
- Myla Lai-Goldman, MD
 - LabCorp -- Reference Laboratories
- Kim Hetsko, MD
 - AMA/COLA -- Physicians' Office Laboratories
- Lou Turner, DrPH, MPH, HCLD
 - North Carolina State Public Health Laboratory
- Mario Plebani, MD
 - Servizio de Medicina di Laboratorio, Italy

